

1 Paul Marron, Esq., State Bar No. 128245
2 Steven C. Rice, Esq., State Bar No. 109659
3 MARRON & ASSOCIATES
4 111 W. Ocean Blvd., Suite 1925
5 Long Beach, CA 90802
6 (562) 432-7422
7 Telephone (562) 432-7422
8 Facsimile (562) 432-8682
9 abates@marronlaw.com

10 Attorneys for Defendants SUPERSHUTTLE
11 INTERNATIONAL, INC. and SUPERSHUTTLE
12 FRANCHISE CORPORATION

13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
17

18 ROOSEVELT KAIRY, LARRY BROWN,
19 WAYNE DICKSON, DRAKE OSMUN,
20 AND HARJINDER SINGHDIETZ ON
21 BEHALF OF THEMSELVES, ALL OTHER
22 SIMILARLY SITUATED, AND THE
23 GENERAL PUBLIC,

24 Plaintiffs,

25 vs.

26 SUPERSHUTTLE INTERNATIONAL, INC.;
27 SUPERSHUTTLE FRANCHISE
28 CORPORATION; AND VEOLIA
TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC.,
DBA SUPERSHUTTLE, AND DOES 1
THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE, and DOES 1
through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:08-cv-02993 JSW(BZ)

Assigned to Hon. Jeffrey S. White

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER ALLOWING THE FILING OF
RESPONSIVE PLEADING TO SECOND
AMENDED COMPLAINT BY
DEFENDANTS, ON OR BEFORE
FEBRUARY 26, 2009

Complaint Filed: May 8, 2008
Trial Date:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STIPULATION

WHEREAS defendants have recently filed 54 consents in regard to the putative collective action as to their claims based upon the Fair Labor Standards Act, and plaintiffs have obtained an extension of time in which to serve responses to defendants' first set of document requests, and WHEREAS defendants wish to consider this information prior to filing their responsive pleadings to the Second Amended Complaint, to consider whether the information may affect the substance of the pleadings, and to minimize the need for subsequent motions and/or pleadings.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by parties to this lawsuit, by and through their respective counsel of record that Defendants shall be allowed to file any responsive pleadings to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint on or before February 26, 2009. Alternatively, Defendants may elect not to file a responsive pleading, in which case the Defendants' Answer on file will be deemed the responsive pleading to the Second Amended Complaint.

DATED: 1/28/09

MARRON & ASSOCIATES

By: 

PAUL J. MARRON
STEVEN C. RICE
Attorneys for DEFENDANTS

DATED: Jan. 28, 2009

HINTON, ALPERT & SUMNER

By: 

AARON KAUFMANN
Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS

ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, it is ordered that Defendants shall be allowed to file any responsive pleadings to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint on or before February 26, 2009. Alternatively, Defendants may elect not to file a responsive pleading, in which case the Defendants' Answer on file will be deemed the responsive pleading to the Second Amended Complaint.

DATED: January 29, 2009


Hon. Jeffrey S. White
Judge of the District Court